Second RTI application of Sri Amit Kumar Gupta on 27-02-2015 on 60-40 issue and reply of DOT

Questions asked vide RTI dt 27-02-15	Reply	of DO	4 vide	17-35/2	014-Pe	en(T) dt	26-03-2	2015	
 Whether the Cabinet Memo dated 29-12-2010 of Department of Telecommunication which was approved by the cabinet on 15-1-2011 has been prepared with the positive consent of Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited. Copy of such agreement may be given Whether Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limitad is agreeable with the provisions as mentioned in para 3.5 and as approved by the cabinet vide para 6.2 of the said cabinet memo. Copy of any document, note sheet, order accepting the provisions as mentioned in the Cabinet Memo by BSNL may be given. 	Cabin concu consu Legal	rrence Itation Affairs	no date of Acc with c . DOP8	ed 29-10 ounts B oncerne PW, De es and <u>n</u>	ranch/ ed Gov ept of E	'Financ t depai Expendi	e Branc rtments iture an	h, DOT a viz; De	pt of
3.a. Whether <u>at present</u>	Points	<u> 3 & 4</u>							
the expenditure for paying	In this matter it is stated that since the subject matter relates to Budget Section of DoT, your application is being transferred								
pension and family									
pension for BSNL	under	Sectio	n 6(3)	of the R	TI act	to the d	concern	ed CPIO	for
pensioners has crossed the 60% limit as	furnis	hing th	e requ	isite inf	ormat	ion dire	ectly to g	you.	
mentioned in para 3.5 of				_	_	. -	-		
the above mentioned		•	•	wing inf					
cabinet memo.	(ADG/D	CA/2014	TA-I/RTI	/2946&29	47 Dt 06-	05-2015	& RTI/PSF	/2014 DT 2	29-04-15)
	Year	<u>Divdnt</u>	<u>Corp</u>	<u>Service</u>	Excise	License	<u>Total</u>	<u>60% of</u>	Pension
3.b. If the answer of 3.a. is		N	Tax	Tax	Duty	<u>Fee</u>	0040.05	Total	Payment
'yes' then the extent by	2010-	Not paid	479.87	2355.13	8.20	##	2843.20	1705.92	3522.81
which the expenditure has	2011-	Not	456.04	2412.38	4.91	##	2873.33	1723.99	4822.98
exceeded the 60% limit.	12 2012-	paid Not	202.25	2000 10	5 20		2204 02	1070.00	5405 1 3
3.c Kindly furnish the	13	Not paid	392.35	2899.18	5.30	##	3296.83	1978.09	5685.13
information on year wise	2013-	Not	196.12	2871.80	2.88	##	3070.80	1842.48	5657.03
	14	paid							
collection on i) Dividend	All amou	<u>All amount in crores</u> ## The information on license fee is yet to be received.							
collection on i) Dividend income from BSNL ii)	-			se fee is ve	t to be rea	coived			
collection on i) Dividend	-			ise fee is ye	t to be re	ceived.			

Tax/Excise duty/Service Tax paid by BSNL and year wise expenditure made by DOT for payment of pension from 1-10- 2010. 3.d. Kindly furnish the information on the year wise payment made by BSNL from 2000 to 2014 on pension contribution fund	
4. a. Whether BSNL is paying the exceeded amount for paying of pension as per the provision of the above mentioned cabinet memo (para 3.5)?	<u>Points 3 & 4</u>
4.b. If answer of question 4.a. is "No", then Whether any claim has been raised by DoT to BSNL till now ? Copy may be given	In this matter it is stated that since the subject matter relates to Budget Section of DoT, your application is being transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI act to the concerned CPIO for furnishing the requisite information directly to you.
4.c. In case BSNL not paying the exceeded amount beyond 60% as per the provision of above mentioned cabinet memo, how DoT is paying full pension to BSNL pensioners?	
4.d. Whether any special approval is being taken for payment of the exceeded pension of BSNL pensioners. ? How many times such approval is taken. Copy of such approval (note sheets) may be given.	
5. The provision of the cabinet memo dated 29- 10-2010 as approved by cabinet on 15-01-2011, has a contradiction with the provisions of Rule 37A of CCS Pension Rules 1972, clause 21 & 22	

 where BSNL's responsibility was only to pay the pension contribution as per rules and Government's responsibility was to pay the pension Whether DoT is planning to amend the Rule 37A of CCS Pension rules 1972 in accordance with the para 3.5 & 6.2 of the above mentioned cabinet memo dated 29-10-2010 ? 6. If answer to the question 5 is 'no', then kindly intimate the reason(s) of including the provisions in para 3.5 of the said cabinet memo and making BSNL responsible for pension payment of the exceeded amount (beyond 60%) of BSNL pensioners. 	Points Nos 5& 6 Rule 37 A of CCS Pension Rules 1972 was notified by DOP&PW. Any modification of the said rule is beyond the purview of this department. As regards 'reasons' for any action /inaction, the same is not covered under the definition of information as defined under RTI act. This has been clarified by DOPT vide OM no 1/7/2009-IR dated 1-6-2009, highlighting the judgement dated 3-4-2008 of the High Court of Bombay at Goa in WP no 419 of 2007
7. When (date) this proposal as mentioned in para 3.5 & 6.2 of the cabinet memo was moved in DOT. On which date it was approved by the competent person within DOT. Copy of the note sheets of the concerned file may be supplied.	Point No 7 The proposal was moved on 29-04-2010 and it was approved by MOC & it ON 22-07-2010. The copies of the note sheet of the concerned file as requested by you in your RTI application will be furnished to you on remittance of Rs 20/- (Total 10 page @ Rs 2/- per page) * The copies of the note sheets are supplied vide 47-35/2014-Pen(T) dt 13-05-2015. 10 Pages are given 58/N to 62/N & 77/N to 81/N. Pages 53/N to 76/N not given, the reason is known to DOT. However I am trying to get those pages also. 58/N to 42/N contains the draft note for the cabinet memo for 68.8% IDA fixation to pensioners. This draft in page no 60/N contains the protection against 60:40 sharing "if BSNL is unable to pay the contribution then Govt of India still pay the pension and pensionary benefit", But this draft was not finally approved. From page 62/N, it appeared that Member (F) has not cleared it and referred it to DDG(F) on 30-04-2010. Then on 12-07-2010 again a <u>final draft</u> for the cabinet memo was prepared (77/N to 81/N) which was cleared by all concerned smoothly. But in this final draft the protection clause against 60:40, which was there in original draft, is missing AKG