ALL INDIA BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LIMITED EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION



Central Headquarters New Delhi-110001

President S. Sivakumar Mob:9486102121

Prahlad Rai Mob:9868278222

General Secretary

Financial Secretary T.C. Jain

E-mail:gsaibsnlea@gmail.com

Mob:9868188748 E-mail:fsaibsnlea@gmail.com

DATED: 05.05.2017

E-mail:presidentaibsnlea@gmail.com

No. AIBSNLEA/CHQ/CMD/2017

To.

Shri Anupam Srivastava, CMD, BSNL, New Delhi - 110001

Illegal promotion orders of 42 DGMs of 147 LDCE quota SDEs whose Subject:

seniority has been quashed by the Hon'ble High Court Kerala - our

concern thereof.

Reference: BSNL Corporate Office letter number 314-6/2017-Pers.I dated

03.05.2017

Respected Sir,

We are extremely thankful for issuing the promotion orders of 438 DGMs (Engg) but express serious resentment against the illegal promotion of about 42 DGMs (List attached as Annexure-I) of 147 LDCE quota SDEs whose seniority has been quashed by the Hon'ble High Court Kerala. Against this judgement these 147 LDCE quota SDEs has filed SLP in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India which is still pending.

In this context further, we would like to bring to your cognizance towards the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 21.04.2015 upholding the TES Group "B" seniority list no. I to XVII prepared and finalized by DoT in the year 1992 following the Hon'ble Apex Court judgment. Also an Expert Committee headed by Hon'ble Retd. Justice Shri Ramamurthy was constituted to examine and protect the interest of those who have been benefited by wrong interpretation of Hon'ble Apex Court judgment delivered in the year 2000.

BSNL Corporate Office Pers. Cell and all the affected parties represented to the Expert Committee and accordingly Expert Committee has directed BSNL to prepare and submit the seniority lists, whereas BSNL Corporate Office Pers. Cell submitted two seniority lists, one including the names of 147 SDEs (LDCE-Quota) in I to XVII

seniority lists keeping 1998 DPC SDEs below and the second seniority list excluding the 147 SDEs (LDCE Quota) and keeping them below I to XVII seniority lists along with 1998 DPC SDEs. The former list is invalid in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment dated 21.04.2015 wherein it has been declared the TES Group 'B' seniority list No.1 to No.17 as sacrosanct.

The expert committee has submitted its report to Hon'ble Supreme Court of India but till date decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court on these lists is awaited. Hence, none of the list submitted by the Pers. Cell of BSNL Corporate Office to the said expert committee has not attained finality. Further, the Pers. Cell never circulated the eligibility lists for the DGM (Engg) promotion order amongst the eligible candidates for objections if any, for the reasons best known to them. It is spreading the rumours about the malign intention of the officers working in Pers. Cell of BSNL Corporate Office.

The seniority of 147 SDEs (LDCE Quota) has been quashed by the CAT Bench, CAT Bench Ernakulum and the judgment of CAT Bench has been upheld by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. The SLP filed by the 147 SDEs (LDCE Quota) in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India is still pending. It is worthwhile to mention here that Hon'ble Supreme Court has never stayed the implementation of the judgment of Hon'ble CAT Bench, Ernakulum upheld by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. Hence, including the names of 147 SDEs (LDCE Quota) along with 1998 DPC SDEs is under violation of Hon'ble Court orders and invite CONTEMPT. A copy of the judgment dated 01.07.2013 of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the matter of WP(C) No. 5406 of 2010(S) against the orders dated 05.02.2010 in OA No. 86/2009 of CAT Bench Ernakulum is attached herewith as Annexure – II for ready reference.

Operative portion of the judgment by Hon'ble High Court of Kerala on 147 SDEs (LDCE Quota) retrospective seniority case is placed below for ready reference:

Para-36. Looking at the entire findings in Ext.P4 we are of the considered opinion that the Tribunal while directing the conduct of one consolidated qualifying and competitive examination for the period between 1992-96; also took judicial notice of the fact that all the vacancies prior to 1994 were filled up by candidates who had qualified in the examination of 1989. Specific O.P (CAT) 3019/2011 and connected judicial notice taken of this fact and the observation that one cannot hope to put the clock back for all intents and purposes, in our considered opinion is a pointer to the fact that the Tribunal did not brook any upseting of filling up of vacancies prior to 1994. It is also pertinent that the candidates who qualified prior to 1991 were held to be admitted seniors of those qualifying later on. Though as contended by the LDCE candidates, who are the petitioners herein, such seniority was only against the quota of qualifying candidates and did not at all affect the competitive candidates; obviously, there is no pleading that any of the petitioners or any of the 147 persons included in the list of competitive candidates had qualified and were placed high on merit in the combined examinations, held prior to 1991. A candidate qualifying in the examination acquires a right to

be promoted to the available vacancy and assignment of seniority only with respect to the year of qualification. Can a person who qualified in the DQE and came out meritorious in the LDCE of a particular year, said to have acquired a right to a position prior to the year of his qualification'. In the absence of any such specific rule, we are unable to answer the question in the affirmative.

Para-47. Merely because the LDCE was not held from 1989 that does not create a vested right in the 147 candidates to be assigned seniority in the 1/3rd quota of LDCE from the year 1990 onwards. We have already found that the DQE and LDCE exams held in 2000-2003 were only to the vacancies of 1994-95, 1995-96 and 1996-97 (up to 22.7.1996). The promotion to the DQE quota can only be from the year in which a candidate qualified. The promotion on the basis of the LDCE can also be only to those 1/3rd available vacancies in the year of the LDCE. The distinction is in so far as the DQE is considered to the 2/3rd quota from the year in which he qualifies vis-a-vis the seniority among the DQE candidates; and on the basis of his qualification is considered in all the subsequent years. While the LDCE is considered only to the vacancies available in that year and the rank obtained by a candidate not entitling him to be considered in any subsequent years. Hence the 147 candidates ought to be considered for the 1/3rd vacancies in 1994-95, 1995-96 and 1996-97 (up to 22.7.1996) according to their merit as also their eligibility to appear for the combined examination. The eligibility year has to be considered since, one combined examination was held for three years. A candidate entitled to appear in 1996 (by reason of completing five years of regular service in the feeder category on the 1st of the January of the year) cannot be placed in the vacancy of 1994-1995; however, high his rank may be. If the seniority list requires any re-cast on the above lines; obviously, the official respondent ought to do so. In the circumstances, we do not find any reason to differ from the decision of the Tribunal impugned in the writ petitions or interfere with the dismissal of the review applications impugned in the Original Petitions (CAT). The Writ Petitions and Original Petitions (CAT) are dismissed, however, with no costs.

In this connection, it is submitted that even under pendency of the SLP in the Hon'ble Supreme Court if the Pers. Cell of BSNL Corporate Office wants to include the name of 147 candidates as per the above orders, it must have checked the eligibility of the said officer to appear for the combined examination. A candidate entitled to appear in 1996 (by reason of completing five years of regular service in the feeder category on the 1st of the January of the year) cannot be placed in the vacancy of 1994-1995; however, high his rank may be which unfortunately has not been done.

These 147 SDEs of LDCE quota does not belong to 1 to 17 TES Group 'B' seniority lists and their inter-se- seniority is yet to be finalized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. But ignoring the judgment of Hon'ble CAT Bench, Ernakulum upheld by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and pending the SLP in Hon'ble Supreme Court BSNL Management has illegally promoted about 42 DGMs of 147 LDCE quota SDEs.

Sir,

Several senior DEs are now forced to work under their juniors (147 SDEs of LDCE quota) which will cause serious frustration and demoralization to them. This manipulation has been made by the Pers. cell of BSNL C.O. knowing the fact that SLP is pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court to decide 147 SDEs of LDCE quota seniority, for which the reasons are best known to them.

In view of the above, it is therefore, requested to kindly intervene in the matter and get investigate from vigilance cell urgently. Initiatives in this regard are needed to take stern action against the culprits as well as to take necessary corrective actions to undo the wrongs at the earliest to provide justice and to avoid further litigations.

With kind regards,

Encl: As above Annexure-I & Annexure-II

Yours Sincerely Sd/-(PRAHLAD RAI) General Secretary

Copy for kind information to:

- 1. Shri P.K. Pujari, Secretary (Telecom), DoT, New Delhi 110001
- 2. Shri N. Sivasailam, Addl. Secretary (Telecom), DoT, New Delhi 110001
- 3. Smt. Sujata Ray, Director (HR), BSNL Board, New Delhi-110001
- 4. Shri Deepak Kashyap, CVO, BSNL, New Delhi 110001